

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				V	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,698	11/12/2003	Bary Wilkinson	9342-1	9231	
7:	590 10/05/2004		EXAM	INER	
Bruce H. Johnsonbaugh			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE		
Eckhoff & Hoppe					
333 Sacramento Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco,	CA 94111	3644			

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0/				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/712,698	WILKINSON, BARY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yvonne R. Abbott	3644				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. (X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2003.					
'=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n of Claims						
4) \( \times \) \(	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Applicatio	n Papers		·				
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10)□ T	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
P	applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea e the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s	<b>(5)</b>						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/712,698 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 1 and 4, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "aperture" (claim 1) and "one-way valve" (claim 4) in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,698

Art Unit: 3644

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilkeson (4,543,913). Wilkeson discloses a liquid dispenser and grooming tool for animals comprising a reservoir (14) for holding a supply of said liquid scalp medicine, a plurality of hollow tines (27) carried by said reservoir, said hollow tines having passageways of uniform cross-section in fluid contact with said reservoir, a resilient, flexible and closed tip (28) at the end of each of said hollow tines, and an adjustable aperture (29) formed in or near each of said tips for adjusting the amount of said liquid scalp medicine that flows through said aperture means in response to pressure applied by said tip against the scalp, wherein said aperture means includes a slit formed in said tip extending completely through one wall of said tip and wherein said adjustable aperture means closes when no pressure is applied by said tip against the scalp, thereby stopping the flow of said liquid scalp medicine (Abstract; col. 5, lines 2-9).

Page 3

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clement Marco (6,378,529). Clement Marco shows an applicator for liquid scalp medicine, comprising a reservoir (3) for holding a supply of said liquid scalp medicine, a plurality of hollow tines (2) carried by said reservoir, said hollow tines having passageways in fluid contact via channel (5) with said reservoir, a resilient, flexible and closed tip at the end of each pf said hollow tines, and each of said hollow tines has a passageway of uniform cross-section, said passageway extending through said open tip of each tine, said passageway being sized to facilitate capillary flow (Fig. 7) through it by said liquid scalp medicine (col. 4, lines 4-9).

Art Unit: 3644

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkeson. Although the slit in the tine of Wilkeson is shown to be oriented at an angle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that dispensing tines such as Wilkeson have slits parallel and transverse to the longitudinal axis of the tine as well depending upon the viscosity of the fluid to be dispensed, the degree of flow regulation desired, or to create a desired application pattern.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkeson in view of Holland (4,617,875). Although Wilkeson discloses a liquid applicator having a reservoir for containing the liquid to be applied, it does not disclose a one-way valve. Holland teaches a grooming and treatment applicator having a one way valve (64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the Wilkeson dispenser have a one-way valve so that air may flow into the reservoir thereby increasing the pressure on the liquid so that the rate of flow of liquid through dispensing tubes may be adjusted by adjusting the amount of pressure within said reservoir, thereby facilitating the flow of

Application/Control Number: 10/712,698

Art Unit: 3644

treatment material through the tines and as taught by Holland. With respect to claim 5, what constitutes "a single dose" is considered relative, and disclosed by Wilkeson in terms of the amount capable of being held by the dispenser.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (703)308-2866. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yvonge R. Abbott Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3644

9/28/04